

# OPEN MANIFOLDS, OZSVATH-SZABO INVARIANTS AND EXOTIC $\mathbb{R}^4$ 'S

SIDDHARTHA GADGIL

ABSTRACT. We construct an invariant of certain open four-manifolds using the Heegaard Floer theory of Ozsvath and Szabo. We show that there is a manifold  $X$  homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^4$  for which the invariant is non-trivial, showing that  $X$  is an exotic  $\mathbb{R}^4$ . This is the first invariant that detects exotic  $\mathbb{R}^4$ 's.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we construct invariants of certain open 4-manifolds using the Heegaard Floer theory of Ozsvath and Szabo, and show that our invariants can detect exotic  $\mathbb{R}^4$ 's. Previous constructions of exotic  $\mathbb{R}^4$ 's used indirect arguments to establish exoticity.

Given an  $(n+1)$ -dimensional field theory, a direct limit construction can be used to construct an invariant of open  $(n+1)$ -dimensional manifolds (which we see in detail later). The subtlety in the case of Ozsvath-Szabo invariants is that they do not give a field theory, but satisfy a more complicated composition law. However if we restrict to a class of cobordisms, which we call *admissible cobordisms*, we do get a field theory. Using this, we construct our invariants.

Recall that the Ozsvath-Szabo invariants of a smooth, oriented 3-manifold  $M$  associate homology groups to  $M$  equipped with a  $Spin^c$  structure  $t$ . Further, given a smooth cobordism  $W$  between 3-manifolds  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  and a  $Spin^c$  structure  $s$  on  $W$ , we get an induced map on the groups associated to the restrictions of  $s$  to  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ . To make this into a field theory, one needs a composition rule for a cobordism  $W_1$  from  $M_1$  to  $M_2$  equipped with a  $Spin^c$  structure  $s_1$  and a cobordism  $W_2$  from  $M_2$  to  $M_3$  equipped with a  $Spin^c$  structure  $s_2$  with  $s_1|_{M_2} = s_2|_{M_2}$ . However, such  $Spin^c$  structures  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  do not in general uniquely determine a  $Spin^c$  structure on the composition  $W = W_1 \amalg_{M_2} W_2$  of  $W_1$  and  $W_2$ . We do have a weaker composition law, where we sum over  $Spin^c$  structures on  $W$  restricting to  $s_1$  and  $s_2$ .

We now find sufficient conditions under which  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  uniquely determine a  $Spin^c$  structure  $s$  on  $W$ . The  $Spin^c$  structures on a manifold  $X$  are a torsor of  $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ . Consider the Mayer-Vietoris sequence for  $W = W_1 \cup W_2$

$$\rightarrow H^1(W_1) \oplus H^1(W_2) \rightarrow H^1(M_2) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^2(W) \rightarrow H^2(W_1) \oplus H^2(W_2) \rightarrow H^2(M_2)$$

From this sequence, it follows that, given  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  as above, there is a unique  $Spin^c$  structure  $s$  on  $W$  which restricts to  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  if and only if the coboundary map  $\delta : H^1(M_2) \rightarrow H^2(W)$  is trivial. This is equivalent to the map induced by

---

*Date:* November 7, 2006.

*1991 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 57R58; Secondary 53D35, 57M27, 57N10.

inclusions  $H^1(W_1) \oplus H^1(W_2) \rightarrow H^1(M)$  being surjective. Motivated by this, we make the following definition.

**Definition 1.1.** A smooth 4-dimensional cobordism  $W$  from  $M_1$  to  $M_2$  is admissible if the map induced by inclusion  $H^1(W) \rightarrow H^1(M_2)$  is surjective.

We shall see basic properties of such cobordisms in Section 2. We now turn to the corresponding notions for open manifolds. Let  $X$  be an open 4-manifold which we assume for simplicity has one end. Let  $K_1 \subset K_2 \subset \dots$  be an exhaustion of  $X$  by compact manifolds and let  $M_i = \partial K_i$ . We assume here and henceforth (for all exhaustions) that  $K_i \subset \text{int}(K_{i+1})$ . For  $i < j$ , let  $W_{ij} = K_j - \text{int}(K_i)$  be cobordisms from  $M_i$  to  $M_j$ .

**Definition 1.2.** The exhaustion  $\{K_i\}$  of  $X$  is said to be admissible if each cobordism  $W_{ij}$ ,  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $i < j$ , is admissible. The manifold  $X$  is said to be admissible if it has an admissible exhaustion.

We shall need to consider the appropriate notion of  $Spin^c$  structures for the ends of 4-manifolds.

**Definition 1.3.** An asymptotic  $Spin^c$  structure  $s$  on  $X$  is a  $Spin^c$  structure on  $X - K$  for a compact subset  $K \subset X$ . Two asymptotic  $Spin^c$  structures  $s_1$  and  $s_2$ , defined on  $X - K_1$  and  $X - K_2$ , are said to be equal if there is a compact set  $K_0 \supset K_1, K_2$  with  $s_1|_{M-K_0} = s_2|_{M-K_0}$ .

Given an admissible open 4-manifold  $X$  and an asymptotic  $Spin^c$  structure  $s$ , we can define invariants of  $X$ , which we call the *End Floer Homology*, using direct limits. We shall see in Section 3 that an admissible exhaustion gives a directed system.

**Theorem 1.4.** *There is an invariant  $HE(X, s)$  which is the direct limits of the reduced Heegaard Floer homology groups  $HF_{red}^+(M_i, s|_{M_i})$  under morphisms induced by the cobordisms  $W_{ij}$ . Furthermore this is independent of the admissible exhaustion of  $X$ .*

We shall also need a *twisted* version of these invariants. Let  $K \subset X$  be a compact set,  $s$  a  $Spin^c$ -structure on  $X - K$  and  $\omega$  a 2-form on  $X - K$ . Then we consider the reduced Floer theory with  $\omega$ -twisted coefficients (as in [10]). Once more we get a directed system whose limit gives an invariant  $\underline{HE}(X, s)$ .

By taking an exhaustion of  $\mathbb{R}^4$  by balls, we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 1.5.** *For the unique asymptotic  $Spin^c$  structure  $s$  on  $\mathbb{R}^4$ , we have  $\underline{HE}(\mathbb{R}^4, s) = 0$ .*

Our main result is that there are manifolds homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^4$  but with non-vanishing end Floer homology.

**Theorem 1.6.** *There is a 4-manifold  $X$  homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^4$  such that there is a compact set  $K \subset X$ , a  $spin^c$  structure  $s$  on  $X - K$  and a closed 2-form  $\omega$  on  $X - K$  with  $\underline{HE}(X, s) \neq 0$  with  $\omega$ -twisted coefficients.*

Thus,  $X$  is an exotic  $\mathbb{R}^4$ . Previous constructions of exotic  $\mathbb{R}^4$ 's used indirect arguments to show that they are exotic. The *End Floer homology* is the first invariant that detects exotic  $\mathbb{R}^4$ 's.

## 2. ADMISSIBLE COBORDISMS AND ADMISSIBLE ENDS

We henceforth assume that all our manifolds are smooth and oriented and all cobordisms are compact and 4-dimensional. By  $W : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$  we mean a smooth cobordism from the closed 3-manifold  $M_1$  to the closed 3-manifold  $M_2$ . Given  $W_1 : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$  and  $W_2 : M_2 \rightarrow M_3$ ,  $W_2 \circ W_1$  denotes the composition of the cobordisms  $W_1$  and  $W_2$ .

In this section we prove some simple results concerning admissible cobordisms and admissible ends.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Suppose  $W_1 : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$  and  $W_2 : M_2 \rightarrow M_3$  are admissible cobordisms, then  $W = W_2 \circ W_1$  is admissible.*

*Proof.* We need to show that the map  $H^1(W) \rightarrow H^1(M_3)$  induced by inclusion is surjective. This is the composition of maps  $H^1(W) \rightarrow H^1(W_2)$  and  $H^1(W_2) \rightarrow H^1(M_3)$  induced by inclusion, with the latter surjective by hypothesis. We shall show that the map  $H^1(W) \rightarrow H^1(W_2)$  is surjective.

Let  $\alpha \in H^1(W_2)$  be a class. Let  $i_j : M_2 \rightarrow W_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , be inclusion maps. Consider the Mayer-Vietoris sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow H^1(W) \rightarrow H^1(W_1) \oplus H^1(W_2) \xrightarrow{i_1^* + i_2^*} H^1(M_2) \rightarrow \dots$$

By admissibility of  $W_1$ , there is a class  $\beta \in H^1(W_1)$  with  $i_1^*(\beta) = i_2^*(\alpha)$ . Hence the image of the class  $(-\beta, \alpha) \in H^1(W_1) \oplus H^1(W_2)$  in  $H^1(M_2)$  is zero, and so  $(-\beta, \alpha)$  is the image of a class  $\varphi \in H^1(W)$ . In particular  $\alpha$  is the image of  $\varphi$  under the map induced by inclusion.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** *Suppose  $W_1 : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$  and  $W_2 : M_2 \rightarrow M_3$  are cobordisms with  $W = W_2 \circ W_1$  admissible. Then  $W_2$  is admissible.*

*Proof.* By hypothesis the map  $H^1(W) \rightarrow H^1(M_3)$  is surjective. This factors through the map  $H^1(W_2) \rightarrow H^1(M_3)$ , which must also be surjective.  $\square$

We need criteria for when cobordisms corresponding to attaching handles are admissible.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $M = M_1$  be a 3-manifold,  $W$  the cobordism corresponding to a handle addition and  $M_2$  the other boundary components of  $W$ . The following hold.*

- (1) *A product cobordism is admissible.*
- (2) *The cobordism corresponding to attaching a 1-handle to a closed 3-manifold  $M$  is admissible.*
- (3) *If  $K$  is a knot in a closed 3-manifold which represents a primitive, non-torsion element in  $H_1(M)$ , then the cobordism corresponding to attaching a 2-handle to  $M$  is admissible.*

*Proof.* We shall show that the map induced by the inclusion from  $H_1(M_2)$  to  $H_1(W)$  is an isomorphism in each case. As the map on cohomology is the adjoint of this map, it follows that it is a surjection.

The case of a product cobordism is immediate. In the second case we see that  $H_1(M_2) = H_1(W) = H_1(M) \oplus \mathbb{Z}$  with the isomorphism induced by inclusion. In the third case we have  $H_1(M) = H \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ , with  $[K]$  generating the  $\mathbb{Z}$  component and  $H$  isomorphic to the homology of the 3-manifold obtained by surgery about  $K \subset M$ . It is easy to see that  $H_1(W) = H_1(M_2) = H$ .  $\square$

Now let  $X$  be an open manifold and let  $K_1 \subset K_2 \subset \dots$  be an exhaustion of  $X$  and  $M_i$  and  $W_{ij}$  be as before.

**Lemma 2.4.** *The exhaustion  $\{K_i\}$  is admissible if and only if each of the manifolds  $K_{j+1} - \text{int}(K_j)$  is admissible.*

*Proof.* Each  $W_{ij}$  is the composition of cobordisms  $K_{j+1} - \text{int}(K_j)$ . The result follows by Lemma 2.1.  $\square$

Thus, if  $X$  is obtained from a compact manifold  $K$  by attaching handles as in Lemma 2.3 then  $X$  is admissible. Our examples of exotic  $\mathbb{R}^4$ s will be of this form.

It is immediate from the definition that for any admissible exhaustion  $K_i$ , the exhaustion obtained by passing to a subsequence  $K_{i_j}$  is admissible. To show independence of our invariants under exhaustions, we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $K_1 \subset L_1 \subset K_2 \subset L_2 \dots$  be an exhaustion of  $X$  with  $K_1 \subset K_2 \subset \dots$  and  $L_1 \subset L_2 \subset \dots$  admissible exhaustions. Then the exhaustion  $L_1 \subset K_2 \subset L_2 \subset K_3 \dots$  is admissible.*

*Proof.* It suffices to show that the cobordisms  $K_{j+1} - \text{int}(L_j)$ ,  $j \geq 1$  and  $L_j - \text{int}(K_j)$ ,  $j \geq 2$  are admissible. This follows from Lemma 2.2 as the cobordisms  $K_{j+1} - \text{int}(K_j)$  and  $L_{j+1} - \text{int}(L_j)$  are admissible and we have  $K_{j+1} - \text{int}(K_j) = (K_{j+1} - \text{int}(L_j)) \circ (L_j - \text{int}(K_j))$  and  $L_{j+1} - \text{int}(L_j) = (L_{j+1} - \text{int}(K_j)) \circ (K_j - \text{int}(L_j))$ .  $\square$

### 3. INVARIANTS FOR ADMISSIBLE ENDS

We are now ready to define our invariants for an admissible open 4-manifold  $X$ . We shall construct invariants based on reduced Heegaard Floer theory  $HF_{red}^+$ . First we recall some facts about Ozsvath-Szabo theory.

Associated to each closed, oriented 3-manifold  $M$  and  $Spin^c$  structure  $t$  on  $M$  we have abelian groups  $HF^+(M, t)$ ,  $HF^-(M, t)$  and  $HF^\infty(M, t)$  that fit in an exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow HF^-(M, t) \rightarrow HF^\infty(M, t) \rightarrow HF^+(M, t) \rightarrow \dots$$

Further, a cobordism  $W : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$  with a  $Spin^c$  structure  $s$  on  $W$  such that  $t_i = s|_{M_i}$  induces homomorphisms  $F_{W,s}$  on these abelian groups which commute with the maps in the above exact sequence.

The group  $HF_{red}^+(M, t)$  is defined as the quotient of  $HF^+(M, t)$  by the image of  $HF^\infty(M, t)$ . This is isomorphic to the co-kernel  $HF_{red}^-(M, t)$  of the map from  $HF^-(M, t)$  to  $HF^\infty(M, t)$ . Further, given a cobordism  $W : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$  with a  $Spin^c$  structure  $s$  on  $W$  such that  $t_i = s|_{M_i}$ , we get an induced homomorphisms on the abelian groups  $F_{W,s} : HF_{red}^+(M_1, t_1) \rightarrow HF_{red}^+(M_2, t_2)$  induced by the corresponding homomorphism on  $HF^+$  as the image of  $HF^\infty(M_1, t)$  is contained in  $HF^\infty(M_2, t)$ . This homomorphism is well defined up to choice of sign. We shall denote the above cobordism with its  $Spin^c$  structure by  $(W, s) : (M_1, t_1) \rightarrow (M_2, t_2)$ .

Further, if  $(W_1, s_1) : (M_1, t_1) \rightarrow (M_2, t_2)$  and  $(W_2, s_2) : (M_2, t_2) \rightarrow (M_3, t_3)$ , with  $W = W_2 \circ W_1$ , we have the composition formula

$$F_{W_2, s_2} \circ F_{W_1, s_1} = \sum_{s|_{W_i} = s_i} \pm F_{W, s}$$

We shall consider the special case when  $W_1$  is admissible.

**Lemma 3.1.** *If  $W_1$  is admissible then there is a unique  $Spin^c$  structure  $s$  on  $W$  with  $s|_{W_i} = s_i$ . For this  $Spin^c$  structure  $F_{W_2, s_2} \circ F_{W_1, s_1} = \pm F_{W, s}$*

*Proof.* Recall that  $Spin^c$  structures are a torsor of  $H^2(\cdot, \mathbb{Z})$ . Consider the Mayer-Vietoris sequence for  $W = W_1 \cup W_2$

$$\rightarrow H^1(W_1) \oplus H^1(W_2) \rightarrow H^1(M_2) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^2(W) \rightarrow H^2(W_1) \oplus H^2(W_2) \rightarrow H^2(M_2)$$

By admissibility the map  $H^1(W_1) \oplus H^1(W_2) \rightarrow H^1(M_2)$  is a surjection, hence  $H^2(W) \rightarrow H^2(W_1) \oplus H^2(W_2)$  is an injection. This shows uniqueness of the  $Spin^c$  structure. As  $s_1|_{M_2} = t_2 = s_2|_{M_2}$ , existence follows from the same exact sequence.

The second statement follows from the first using the composition formula.  $\square$

For an admissible exhaustion, it follows that we get a directed system of abelian groups up to sign. We next see that we can choose signs to get a directed system, and the direct limit of the system does not depend on the choice of signs.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Assume  $A_i$  is a sequence of Abelian groups and maps  $f_{ij} : A_i \rightarrow A_j$ , such that for  $i < j < k$ ,  $f_{ik} = \pm f_{jk} \circ f_{ij}$ . Then we can choose  $g_{ij} = \pm f_{ij}$  such that we get a directed system. Furthermore the limit is independent, up to isomorphism, of the choices.*

*Proof.* Let  $g_{1j} = f_{1j}$ . For  $i < j$ , the composition law  $g_{1j} = g_{ij} \circ g_{1i}$  uniquely determines sign of  $g_{ij} = \pm f_{ij}$ , and such a  $g_{ij}$  exists as  $f_{1j} = \pm f_{ij} \circ f_{1i}$ . It is easy to see that this gives a directed system.

For a different choice the maps  $g_{1j}$  are replaced by  $g'_{ij} = \epsilon_j g_{1j}$ ,  $\epsilon_j = \pm 1$ . We get in general a different directed system, with the groups  $A_i$ . However, using the isomorphisms  $\epsilon_i : A_i \rightarrow A_i$  (i.e.,  $x \mapsto \epsilon_i \times x$  for  $x \in A_i$ ), we get an isomorphism of directed systems. Hence the limits are isomorphic.  $\square$

**Definition 3.3.** The End Floer homology  $HE(X, s)$  is the direct limit of the directed system constructed above.

**Proposition 3.4.** *This is independent of the admissible exhaustion chosen.*

*Proof.* By elementary properties of direct limits, the limit does not change on passing to a subsequence of an exhaustion. Given two admissible exhaustions  $K_1 \subset K_2 \subset \dots$  and  $L_1 \subset L_2 \subset \dots$ , by passing to subsequences we can assume that  $K_1 \subset L_1 \subset K_2 \subset L_2 \subset \dots$  for the two exhaustions. By Lemma 2.5 the exhaustion  $L_1 \subset K_2 \subset L_2 \subset K_3 \dots$  is admissible. As  $L_1 \subset L_2 \subset \dots$  and  $K_2 \subset K_3 \subset \dots$  are subsequences of this exhaustion, the direct limits for the exhaustions  $K_1 \subset K_2 \subset \dots$  and  $L_1 \subset L_2 \subset \dots$  are the same (as they are both isomorphic to the direct limit corresponding to the exhaustion  $L_1 \subset K_2 \subset L_2 \subset K_3 \dots$ ).  $\square$

We consider the  $\omega$ -twisted version of this as in [10]. Let  $K \subset X$  be a compact manifold and  $\omega$  a 2-form on  $X - K$ . We consider an admissible exhaustion with the first term  $K_1$  satisfying  $K \subset K_1$ . For this, we can define the twisted groups  $\underline{HF}_{red}^+(M_i, t_i)$  and homomorphisms associated to  $W_{ij}$  which are well defined up to sign and multiplication by powers of  $T$ . For any composition  $W = W_2 \circ W_1$  associated with the exhaustion as above, the coboundary map  $\delta : H^1(M_2) \rightarrow H^2(W)$  is zero. It follows by the composition rule for  $\omega$ -twisted coefficients that we have a directed system up to multiplication by powers of  $T$  and sign. As in Lemma 3.2, we can make choices for the homomorphisms to get a directed system and the direct limit is independent of the choices.

The direct limit is the End Floer homology  $\underline{HE}(X, s)$  with  $\omega$ -twisted coefficients. As in the untwisted case, this is well defined.

#### 4. EXOTIC $\mathbb{R}^4$ 's

We now construct a manifold  $X$  homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^4$  with  $\underline{HE}(X) \neq 0$ . This is done by first constructing a convex symplectic manifold  $W$  with one convex boundary component  $N_0$  and one convex end and then gluing a compact manifold  $Y$  to  $W$  along  $N_0$ .

**4.1. Construction of  $X$ .** Let  $K$  be a non-trivial slice knot in  $S^3$  and let  $N$  be obtained by 0-frame surgery about  $K$ . Then  $N \times [0, 1]$  admits a taut foliation by [4], and hence a symplectic structure with both ends convex by [2]. On attaching a 2-handle  $H$  to  $N \times \{1\}$  corresponding to the surgery canceling the 0-frame surgery about  $K$ , we get a manifold  $P$  with boundary  $S \cup N_0$  with  $N_0 = N \times \{0\}$  and  $S$  a 3-sphere. In particular the end of  $P - S$  is homeomorphic to the end of  $\mathbb{R}^4$  by Freedman's theorem [3].

As in Theorem 3.1 of [5], we can attach a Casson handle in place of the 2-handle  $H$  to get a manifold  $W$  which is a convex symplectic manifold and with end homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^4$ . Observe that  $W$  is simply-connected as the 2-handle is attached along the meridian of  $K$ , which normally generates  $\pi_1(N)$ . Also observe that in the proof of Theorem 3.1 of [5], the handles attached are as in Lemma 2.3, and hence the corresponding exhaustion is admissible.

Now, let  $Y'$  be obtained from  $B^4$  by attaching a 2-handle along  $K$  with framing 0. Then  $\partial Y' = N$ . As  $K$  is slice, the generator of  $H_2(Y) = \mathbb{Z}$  can be represented by an embedded sphere  $\Sigma$ . Let  $Y$  be obtained from  $Y'$  by performing surgery along  $\Sigma$ . Glue  $W$  to  $Y$  along  $\partial Y = N = N_0$  to obtain  $X$ .

By a Mayer-Vietoris argument,  $X$  has the homology of  $\mathbb{R}^4$ . Further, as  $\pi_1(Y)$  is normally generated by a meridian of  $K$ , to which a Casson handle is attached,  $\pi_1(X) = 1$ . Finally, as the end of  $X$  is homeomorphic to the end of  $\mathbb{R}^4$ ,  $Y$  is simply-connected at infinity. Thus  $Y$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^4$ .

**4.2. Non-Vanishing of End Floer homology.** Finally, we show that the End Floer homology for  $X$  does not vanish. Consider the exhaustion of  $X$  with  $K_1 = Y$ , hence  $M_1 = N$  and  $K_2, K_3, \dots$  being the level sets after attaching successive handles as above. Note that  $X - K$  is symplectic with symplectic form  $\omega$ , and each of the cobordisms  $W_{1j}$  is a convex symplectic manifold with two boundary components  $M_1$  and  $M_j$ . Hence  $W_{1j}$  embeds in a symplectic 4-manifold  $Z = X_1 \cup W_{1j} \cup X_j$  with both components of  $Z - W_{1j}$  having  $b_1^+ > 0$  by results of Eliashberg [1] and Kronheimer-Mrowka [6]. Here  $X_1$  and  $X_j$  are manifolds with boundaries  $M_1$  and  $M_j$ , respectively.

We shall consider  $\omega$ -twisted coefficients and the  $spin^c$  structure  $s$  associated to  $\omega$ . Recall that  $\omega$ -twisted coefficients are coefficients determined by  $\omega$  as follows: for a 3-manifold  $P \subset M$ , we consider  $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{R}]$  as a module over  $\mathbb{Z}[H^1(N, \mathbb{Z})]$  via the ring homomorphism  $[\gamma] \mapsto T^{\int_N [\gamma] \wedge \omega}$ . Ozsvath and Szabo show that we have induced maps with  $\omega$ -twisted coefficients satisfying an appropriate composition formula. By an application of Stokes theorem, we deduce the relation

$$2 \int_N [\gamma] \wedge \omega = \int_Z \delta[\gamma] \wedge \omega$$

Let  $t_i$  be the  $Spin^c$  structure on  $M_i$  induced by  $s$ . We first construct an element  $x_1 \in \underline{HF}^+(M_1, t_1)$  whose image  $z_1 \in \underline{HF}_{red}^+(M_1, t_1)$  will be shown to have non-zero image in the direct limit giving the End Floer homology.

Let  $P \subset X_1$  be an admissible cut in the terminology of Ozsvath and Szabo. Then as  $\delta H^1(P) = 0$ ,  $\omega$ -twisted coefficients co-incide with untwisted coefficients (as  $2 \int_N [\gamma] \wedge \omega = \int_Z \delta[\gamma] \wedge \omega = 0$ ). Let the closures of the components of  $X_1 - P$  be  $U$  and  $V$ , with  $M_1 \subset \partial V$ . Let  $B_1 \subset U$  be a ball. As in the construction of the closed 4-manifold invariants, we obtain an element  $\xi \in \underline{HF}^+(P, s) = HF^+(P, s)$  as the image of the generator of  $HF^-(S^3)$  using the isomorphism between  $HF_{red}^-$  and  $HF_{red}^+$ . We define  $x_1$  to be the image  $\underline{F}_V(\xi)$  of  $\xi$  in  $\underline{HF}^+(M_1, t_1)$  under the map induced by the cobordism  $V$  and let  $z_1$  be its image in reduced Floer homology.

Let  $x_j \in \underline{HF}^+(M_j, t_j)$  be the image of  $x_1$  under the cobordism induced by  $W_{1j}$  and let  $z_j \in \underline{HF}_{red}^+(M_j, t_j)$  be corresponding image of  $z_1$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *For every  $j \geq 0$ ,  $z_j \neq 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $j > 1$  be fixed. Let  $W = W_{1j} \cup X_j$  and let  $B_2$  be a ball in  $X_j$ . We shall show that the image of  $x_1$  in  $HF^+(S^3, s_0)$  under the map induced by  $W - B_2$  is non-zero.

**Lemma 4.2.** *The image  $\underline{F}_{W-B_2}(x_1)$  of  $x_1$  in  $HF^+(S^3, s_0)$  under the map induced by  $W - B_2$  is non-zero.*

*Proof.* We use the product formula with  $\omega$ -twisted coefficients

$$\sum_{\eta \in H^1(M_1, \mathbb{Z})} \Phi_{M, s+\delta\eta} T^{\langle \omega \cup c_1(s+\delta\eta), [M] \rangle} = \underline{F}_{W-B_2} \circ \underline{F}_V(\xi) = \underline{F}_{W-B_2}(x_1)$$

Thus it suffices to show that the left hand side does not vanish. By results of Ozsvath and Szabo, the closed four-manifold invariants do not vanish for symplectic manifolds. Further, by construction  $H^1(M_1, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $[\gamma] \rightarrow 2 \int_{M_1} [\gamma] \wedge \omega = \int_M \delta[\gamma] \wedge \omega$  is injective. Hence the different terms on the left hand side give different powers of  $T$ . It follows that  $\underline{F}_{W-B_2}(x_1) \neq 0$ , completing the proof.  $\square$

Now, by Lemma 3.1, as  $W_{1j}$  is admissible, this factors through the map induced by  $W_{1j}$ , and hence the image of  $x_j$  in  $HF^+(S^3, s_0)$  is non-zero. But as the cobordism  $X_j - \text{int}(B_2)$  has  $b_2^+ > 0$ , the induced map on  $\underline{HF}^\infty$  is zero. It follows that  $x_j$  is not in the image of  $\underline{HF}^\infty(M_i, t_i)$ , i.e.  $z_j \neq 0$ , as claimed.  $\square$

Thus, the End Floer homology of  $X$  does not vanish. We have seen that  $X$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^4$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 1.6.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

1. Eliashberg, Yakov *A few remarks about symplectic filling*, Geom. Topol. **8** (2004), 277–293.
2. Eliashberg, Yakov M.; Thurston, William P. *Confoliations*, University Lecture Series, **13**, American Mathematical Society, 1998.
3. Freedman, Michael Hartley *The topology of four-dimensional manifolds*. J. Differential Geom. **17** (1982), 357–453
4. Gabai, David *Foliations and the topology of 3-manifolds. III* J. Differential Geom. **26** (1987), 479–536
5. Gompf, Robert E. *Handlebody construction of Stein surfaces* Ann. of Math. (2) **148** (1998), 619–693.
6. Kronheimer, P. B.; Mrowka, T. S. *Witten's conjecture and property P*, Geom. Topol. **8** (2004), 295–310.

7. Ozsvath, Peter; Szabo, Zoltan *Holomorphic discs and topological invariants for closed three-manifolds*, to appear in the Annals of Mathematics.
8. Ozsvath, Peter; Szabo, Zoltan *Holomorphic triangles and invariants of smooth four-manifolds*, preprint
9. Ozsvath, Peter; Szabo, Zoltan *Holomorphic triangle invariants and the topology of symplectic four-manifolds*. Duke Math. J. 121(2004), no. 1, 1–34
10. Ozsvath, Peter; Szabo, Zoltan *Holomorphic disks and genus bounds*, Geom. Topol. **8** (2004), 311–334

STAT MATH UNIT,, INDIAN STATISTICAL INSTITUTE,, BANGALORE 560059, INDIA  
E-mail address: [gadgil@isibang.ac.in](mailto:gadgil@isibang.ac.in)